

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/064,477		07/18/2002	Andrew E. Fano	33836.00.0032	9683
30498	7590	03/19/2008		EXAMINER	
ACCENTU				·	
C/O VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ, P.C. 222 NORTH LASALLE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 6060)1			

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\ <i>[97</i>			
i 19tific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/064,477	FANO, ANDREW E.				
-	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cindy Nguyen	2161				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
The Ap	opeal Brief filed on <u>12 <i>March 2008</i></u> is defective fo	r failure to comply with one or mo	ore provisions of 37 CFR 41.	37 .			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file and 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRAI	he mailing date of this Notificatio					
1.	The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ns are not under the proper				
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	separate heading for each groun	d of rejection on appeal (37 C	CFR			
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CFR				
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the eviden other evidence entered by the examiner and restatement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	elied upon by appellant in the a	ppeal, along with a				
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):					
	1.) The status of claims fails to provide the status of	all claims filed in the application .					
	PATEN	TIM COLE IT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST	ı				
		Timothy Cole					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 7-05)